	•		
1	BAUTE & TIDUS LLP		
2	Mark D. Baute (State Bar No. 127329) mbaute@bautelaw.com		
_	Henry H. Gonzalez (State Bar. No. 208419))	
3	Henry H. Gonzalez (State Bar. No. 208419) hgonzalez@bautelaw.com 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 4900		
4	Los Angeles, California 90017		
5	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 630-5000 Facsimile: (213) 683-1225		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff SYMANTEC CORPORATION		
7	I VNIDEDC & WATVING		
8	LYNBERG & WATKINS Philip H. Lo (State Bar No. 178538)		
9	plo@lynberg.com 888 South Figueroa Street, 16 th Floor		
_	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 624-8700		
10	Telephone: (213) 624-8700 Facsimile: (213) 892-2763		
11			
12	Attorneys for Solvent Defendants JOSEPH CHANG, YEN NELSON YU and SHUTTLE PRODUCTS, INC.		
13	and SHUTTLE PRODUCTS, INC.		
	, n		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16			
17	SYMANTEC CORPORATION,		C06- 07963 SI ember 29, 2006]
	Plaintiff,	-	
18	vs.	[PROPOS	ED] ORDER RE:
19	LOCICAL DILIS INC. a New York	STIPULA	FION OF DISMISSAL
20	LOGICAL PLUS, INC., a New York) Corporation, aka LOGICALPLUS.COM;)		F PREJUDICE OF AGAINST YEN
21	JOSEPH CHANG, an individual; YKE) INTERNATIONAL INC., an entity of)		YU AND SHUTTLE FS, INC. ONLY
i	unknown organizational form; YKE INC.,)	_	
22	an entity of unknown organizational) form; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		o Federal Rule of Civil 41(a)(1)(A)(ii)]
23)	_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
24	Defendants.	Judge	Hon. Susan Illston
25	,	Pre-Trial Conference	
		Date:	July 21, 2010
26		Time: Crtrm:	3:30 P.M. 10
27			
28		Trial:	July 26, 2010
		(22.00)	OCEDI ODDED DE CENTUL ATION O

[128313.1] USDC - ND Case No. C06 07963

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF ACTION AGAINST YEN NELSON YU AND SHUTTLE PRODUCTS, INC. ONLY

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER			
2				
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED t hat pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. Rul			
4	41(a)(1)(A)(ii) this action is hereby dismissed without prejudice as to defendants Yer			
5	Nelson Yu and Shuttle Products, Inc. only. With the exception of any fees and cost			
6	that the Court may award Symantec Corporation against defendant Joseph Chang			
7	each party is to bear its own fees and costs.			
8	Sugar Mator			
10	DATED:			
11	Hon. Susan Illston			
12				
13				
۱4				
15	Respectfully Submitted on July 15, 2010, by:			
16	BAUTE & TIDUS LLP			
17	Mark D. Baute (State Bar No. 127329) Henry H. Gonzalez (State Bar No. 208419)			
18				
9	Telephone: (213) 630-5000 Facsimile: (213) 683-1225			
20				
21	/s/ Henry H. Gonzalez By:			
22	Henry H. Gonzalez Attorneys for Plaintiff SYMANTEC CORPORATION			
23	SYMANTEC CORPORATION			
24				
25	Dated: July 15, 2010			
26				
27				
28				

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age 3 of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 4 BAUTE & TIDUS LLP; 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 4900, Los Angeles CA 90017; Tel: (213) 630-5000 5 6 NATIONWIDE LEGAL, 1609 James Wood Boulevard, 7 Los Angeles, CA 90015; (213) 250-1111 8 I served the following listed documents on the interested parties in this action as follows: <u>SYMANTEC v. LOGICAL PLUS, INC</u> USDC, Northern District, Case No. C06 07963 SI 10 [1740.30] 11 [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: 12 STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE OF ACTION 13 AGAINST YEN NELSON YU AND SHUTTLE PRODUCTS, INC. ONLY 14 By Personal Service I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the interested party as listed below. 15 By Facsimile to the names and fax numbers listed below. 16 By Federal Express ~ Next Business Day Delivery: by placing a true copy 17 thereof in a sealed envelope(s) and addressed to the parties listed below. 18 By Mail: by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope and addressed to the parties listed below. I placed such envelope(s) for deposit in the U.S. Mail for service by the United States Postal Service, with postage thereon fully 19 prepaid. 20 I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collection and processing 21 correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that, 22 on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation 23 date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 24 By E-Mail: I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be e-mailed to the 25 parties listed below, as noted. 26 Filed and served electronically in accordance with the Court's electronic filing ("ECF") rules, pursuant to which registered ECF users receive service copies 27 by e-mail delivery. A courtesy copy will follow by U.S. Mail. 28

[128313.1 PROOF OF SERVICE

Case 3:06-cv-07963-SI Document 119 Filed 07/20/10 Page 4 of 4

4